Exhibit C:

Approved, SCAO	Original – Cou				and copy - E	
STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT 45 DISTRICT COUR JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	1st copy - Plaintiff ANSWER, CIVIL (PAGE 1 OF 4)			3rd copy - Proof of service CASE NO. 2200061GC Hon. Michelle Friedman		
Court address 13600 OAK PARK BLVD, OAK PARK, MI 482	237					urt telephone no 248) 691-744(
Plaintiff name(s), address(es), and telephone no(s). JPMORGAN CHASE BANK N.A. c/o Attorney			Defendant name(s), ad Katrina Bergunder 24674 Republic A Oak Park, MI 4823 (773) 853-7085	Republic Ave rk, MI 48237		
Plaintiff's attorney, bar no., address, and telephone no. JAMES M MCARDLE (P82443) PO BOX 109032 Chicago, IL 60610 (833) 769-2757			Defendant's attorney, b	ar no., address, and telep	hone no.	
 ☑ Defendant, ☐ Attorney for defendant in answer to the 	complaint states:			s in the answer must co h additional sheets if n		
agree with the statements in p disagree with the statements i do not know if the statements	in paragraph 1 bed			STRICT COU	RT 45	5-B AN
2. I ☐ agree with the statements in p☐ disagree with the statements i☐ do not know if the statements	in paragraph 2 bed		O/	STRICT COU AK PARK, MI	OPY	
3. I ☐ agree with the statements in p☐ disagree with the statements i ☐ do not know if the statements	in paragraph 3 be			ATEY HAY	5 203	18.
 4. I ☐ agree with the statements in p ☐ disagree with the statements in a copy. ☐ do not know if the statements 	in paragraph 4 bed		do not have a cor	oy. I kindly reques	t the Pla	intiff provide
5. I ☐ agree with the statements in p☐ disagree with the statements i ☐ do not know if the statements	in paragraph 5 bea	cause _ e true.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
⊠ continued on page 2. O5/16/2² Date			ATTA BELL	gully		
		Na	trina Bergunder me (Type or print)	Marine de la companio del companio de la companio del la companio del companio de la companio del la companio del la companio de la companio del la companio de la companio	2022 HAY	5TH DI
IMPORTANT: If you have affirmative defenses, you raising them later. An affirmative defense is a defen defense. Affirmative defenses allow you to provide it	ise claiming that the pl	aintiff is n	ot entitled to a judgme	ent because other facts	rt may-pro s exist had	hilbit you from t create a lawfu
,	CERTIFICATE				#	00
I certify that on this date I served a copy of this personal service first-class mail a	s answer on the plai addressed to their la	ntiff(s) o st-know	r their attorney(s) by n address(es) as de	y efined in MCR 2:1 0	ယ္ 7(C)(3).	COURT
MAY 1 6 2022		-	nature	\emptyset \sim \sim		*
Date Approved SCAO						

Approved, SCAO Form MC 03, Rev. 1/21 MCR 2.111 SRL PAGE 1 OF 4

Aur. ved	Original – Court 1st copy – Plaintiff				2nd copy - Defendant 3rd copy - Proof of service	
	STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	ANSWER, CIVIL (PAGE 2 OF 4)			CASE NO. 2200061GC Hon. Michelle Friedman	
	's name(s) GAN CHASE BANK N.A.		v	Defendant's na Katrina Bergun		
continued from page 1.						
6. I agree with the statements in paragraph 6. disagree with the statements in paragraph 6 because do not know if the statements in paragraph 6 are true.						
7. I agree with the statements in paragraph 7. A disagree with the statements in paragraph 7 because Fraud is suspected on the account. O do not know if the statements in paragraph 7 are true.						
8. I agree with the statements in paragraph 8. disagree with the statements in paragraph 8 because do not know if the statements in paragraph 8 are true.						

MAY 1 5 2024

2022 HAY 16 AH 10: 37

			al – Court by – Plaintiff			2nd copy - Defendant opy - Proof of service
хрргочес,	STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT		ANSWER, CIVIL (PAGE 3 OF 4)		CASE NO. 2200061GC Hon. Michelle Friedman	
DI 1-1/0		1	Defendant's n	amo(s)		
Plaintiff's name(s) JPMORGAN CHASE BANK N.A.		v	Katrina Bergu			
CHECK ALL THAT APPLY (for each box checked, attach a statement of facts) AFFIR		RMATIVE DEFE	NSES			
 ☑ Defendant, ☐ Attorney for defendant states the following affirmative defenses: ☐ 1. I paid this debt in full (satisfied). Attached is proof of payment. 						
□ 2.	This action is barred by the stat	ute of limitatio	ns because			
	\square the plaintiff failed to sue with	in six years of	f the las	t activity on th	e alleged account. I	VICL 600.5807(8).
	the alleged contract involves a motor vehicle retail sales installment contract or the sale of other goods, and the plaintiff failed to sue within four years of, the last activity on the alleged account. MCL 440.2725(1).					
	the plaintiff failed to sue with 125.2333.					
□ 3.	payment.					
	☐ The debt was discharged in bankruptcy. The case number wasOAR TARK, WITCH					
☑ 4.	1 many					
	☐ I was not mentally competent when the alleged contract was made. Probate case number _5_2024 Attached are my letters of conservatorship/guardianship.					
	☑ there was no valid contract	(no meeting of	f the minds) be	cause See A	ttached Statement of	of Facts.
⊠ 5.	The contract was severely unju	st or extremel	y one-sided (u	nconscionable	e).	451 2022
☐ 6.	The contract was severely unjust or extremely one-sided (unconscionable). I am not liable for the alleged damages because of the plaintiff's contributory negligence. The alleged contract is unenforceable because it is not in writing (statute of frauds).				MAY DE	
7.	7. The alleged contract is unenforceable because it is not in writing (statute of frauds).					
□ 8.						
⊠ 9.						
1 0.	☐ 10. The goods purchased were defective (failure of consideration).					
☑ 11. The terms of the contract did not express what the parties intended (mutual mistake).						
12. I have not been credited for all payments made on the alleged account. Attached is proof of payment.						
☐ 13. Other: See Attached Statement of Facts.						
Date	5/16/22		Defe	ndant/Attorney si	gnatur	
				ina Bergunder		
			nam	e (Type or print)		

Approved, SCAO Form MC 03, Rev. 1/21 MCR 2.111 SRL PAGE 4 OF 4

Approved, SCAO	Original – Court 1st copy – Plaintiff	2nd copy - Defendant 3rd copy - Proof of service		
STATE OF MICHIGAN JUDICIAL DISTRICT 6th JUDICIAL CIRCUIT	ANSWER, CIVIL (PAGE 4 OF 4)	CASE NO. 2200061GC Hon. Michelle Friedman		
Plaintiff's name(s) JPMORGAN CHASE BANK N.A.	v Defendant's Katrina Berg			

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 4c. I thought that Plaintiff would be reasonable in repayment in the event of dramatic, devastating, and unforeseen world events such as the global pandemic of COVID-19 that resulted in the world shutting down, loss of work, and the death of millions
- 5. At the time I was living in poverty, in an abusive situation, and struggling in college, I agreed to the unfair terms to support myself in times when my \$10/hour job could not.
- 9. When inquired, they insisted that if I had hardships that they would work with me. Despite my numerous repeated calls, Plaintiff was unwilling to work with me.
- 11. As part of the world, I thought that Plaintiff would know about large, unforeseen world events and be reasonable in the event of them.
- 13. While Plaintiff has continuously refused to negotiate, I am still willing to negotiate with Plaintiff to resolve our issues.

DISTRICT COURT 45-B
OAK PARK, MICHIGAN
TRUE PORY
DATE

202 HAY 16 AM 10: 37